

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/771,120	01/26/2001	Stefan Johansson	15292.4	7001		
75	10/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
RICK D. NYDEGGER			MOORE,	MOORE, IAN N		
WORKMAN NYDEGGER & SEELEY 1000 Eagle Gate Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
60 East South Temple			2661			
Salt Lake City,	UT 84145		DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005	DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			() V
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	U
	09/771,120	JOHANSSON, STEFAN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	lan N. Moore	2661	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address -	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communica (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u> .	<u>July 2005</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	ance except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			•
 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrasts. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9,11-21 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 10 and 22 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ 	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 05 July 2005 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/5/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 13, 15, 16, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sturniolo et al (6,154,461) in view of Eng et al (5,958,018) and Honkasalo (US006094426A).

With regard to claims 1 and 13, Sturniolo et al discloses an operating protocol for a mobile terminal roaming between LANs as illustrated by FIG 1. Sturniolo et al discloses that the mobile terminal 36 (wireless communication station) registers with an access point AP1 (wireless communication station) (column 6, lines 65-67). Sturniolo et al further discloses that the mobile terminal 36 establishes a session for communication with GATEWAY 1 to communicate (receiving/transmitting) with other devises (originator) in the communication system 20 (column 7, lines 40-43). As illustrated by FIG 3, data packets include a source address (network address) that identifies (identity) the originator (column 3, lines 23-25).

Sturniolo et al, however, does not expressly disclose a determination step based upon the identity of the originator. Eng et al discloses a check as to whether an origination MAC address is registered upon receiving a MAC frame as illustrated by FIG 15 (column 4, lines 59-66).

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ Eng et al in Sturniolo et al to identify, a subset of mobile terminals such as those that are being served by an

associated access processor (Eng column 4, lines 29-32). At the time the invention was made, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to which the invention pertains to combine the check as to whether an origination MAC address is registered as disclosed by Eng et al with communication system disclosed by Sturniolo et al (collectively Sturniolo-Eng) to obtain the invention specified in claims 1 and 13.

Neither Sturniolo nor Eng explicitly discloses "mobile". However, mobile communication station performing the method of receiving, acquiring and/or determining and establishing is well known in the art. In particular, Honkasalo teaches receiving at the wireless mobile station communication station (see FIG. 2, MS10 receives broadcast/paging message from BS 20; see col. 4, line 25-52; see col. 5, line 45-52), acquiring and determining at the wireless mobile station (see col. 5, line 26-49; see col. 7, line 27-40; see col. 10, line 10-20; mobile station evaluates/verifies the message), establishing at the wireless communication station (see col. 7, line 1-5; see col. 8, line 25-30; performing the call-set up). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide receiving, verifying and establishing means in the mobile station, as taught by Honkasalo, in the combined system of Sturniolo and Eng, so that it would provide an efficient method for transmitting packet data over a network; see Honkasalo col. 1, line 65 to col. 2, line 16.

With regard to claims 3 and 15, the association of a network address with an identity is very common in mobile phones that associate a telephone number (address) with a name (identity).

With regard to claims 4 and 16, data packets (short message) include a source address (network address) as illustrated by FIG 3 (Sturniolo column 3, lines 23-25).

With regard to claim 5, 7 and 17, Sturniolo et al further discloses that the mobile terminal 36 establishes a session for communication (establishes a packet data session) with GATEWAY 1 to communicate (receiving/transmitting) with other devices (originator) in the communication system 20 (column 7, lines 40-43). As illustrated by FIG 3, data packets include a source address (network address) that identifies (identity) the originator (column 3, lines 23-25).

With regard to claims 6 and 18, data packets include a source address (IP address) as illustrated by FIG 3 (Sturniolo column 3, lines 23-25).

With regard to claims 8, 9, 20 and 21, the name assigned to the originator would be the network server name in the event that the message originated from the network server. Network servers are typically identified by an Internet host domain name.

3. Claims 2, 11, 12, 14 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sturniolo et al in view of Eng and Honkasalo, in further view of Koyama (5,654,957).

Sturniolo-Eng-Honkasalo does not explicitly disclose describe the makeup of the mobile station.

With regard to claims 2 and 14, Koyama discloses a packet communication unit that displays (displaying) arrived messages, address input scenes viewed by the user for the selection on the other party as well as a keyboard for inputting information required for operation (column 5, lines 21-27). Such a display would also display the identity of an incoming caller.

Application/Control Number: 09/771,120 Page 5

Art Unit: 2661

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the packet communication unit disclosed by Koyama in the mobile terminal disclosed by Sturniolo-Eng-Honkasalo to provide a display in the mobile station (column 5, lines 21-27). At the time the invention was made, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to which the invention pertains to combine Koyama with Sturniolo-Eng-Honkasalo to obtain the invention in claim 2 and 14.

With regard to claim 11, the packet communication unit also includes a processor 31 (computer executable/microprocessor) in which a memory (computer-readable medium) is inherent (Koyama column 5, lines 39-45).

With regard to claim 12 and 23, Koyama et al further discloses that the packet communication unit also includes a processor 31 (processing means) in which a memory (memory means) inherent (Koyama column 5, lines 39-45). Koyama also disclose a keyboard for inputting information required for operation (Koyama column 5, lines 21-27).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 10 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9,11-21,23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ian N. Moore whose telephone number is 571-272-3085. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM- 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/771,120

Page 7

Art Unit: 2661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

9/29/05

CHAU NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Clean T. Muse